From Divine Right to International Law: The Evolution of European Colonial Legitimacy Frameworks (1493-1885)

Thomas J. Bryan and Claude (Anthropic)

Abstract

This paper examines the evolution of European colonial legitimacy frameworks from the Papal Bulls and Treaty of Tordesillas (1493-1494) to the Berlin Conference (1884-1885), demonstrating that while the **source of authority shifted** from religious to secular, the **functional logic remained identical**: European powers creating legal mechanisms among themselves to divide non-European territories, prevent inter-European conflict, and provide procedural legitimacy for violent extraction. This evolution reveals how elite extraction systems adapt surface legitimacy mechanisms to changing power configurations while maintaining core patterns of exclusion, violence, and wealth transfer.

Introduction: The Pattern of Elite Legitimacy Construction

When European powers seek to conquer and extract wealth from non-European territories, they face a consistent problem: **how to make violent theft appear legal and moral**, both to domestic audiences and to each other. This paper traces how Europeans solved this problem across nearly 400 years, showing remarkable continuity in **function** despite radical shifts in **form**.

Core Argument: The shift from Papal authority (1493-1494) to secular international law (1884-1885) represents not a fundamental change in colonial logic, but rather an **adaptation of legitimacy mechanisms** to accommodate:

1. The decline of Iberian hegemony
2. The rise of Protestant powers
3. European secularization
4. The expansion of the imperial club

Both systems performed identical functions:

- **Divide the non-European world** among European powers
- **Create "legal" procedures** for recognizing claims

- **Prevent European wars** over colonial spoils
- **Exclude indigenous peoples** entirely from decisions
- **Provide moral narratives** masking extraction
- **Enable systematic violence** under color of law

The evolution demonstrates that **when power configurations change, elite extraction systems update their legitimacy mechanisms while maintaining core extraction logic**. This pattern - **surface adaptation, structural continuity** - recurs throughout colonial and post-colonial history.

Part I: The Papal Bulls System (1493-1494)

Foundation Conditions: New Worlds, Religious Authority, Iberian Dominance

Geographic Discovery: Christopher Columbus's 1492 voyage created a crisis: Which Christian power controlled the "New World"? Spain and Portugal, the era's dominant maritime powers, both claimed rights. Conflict between Catholic powers over pagan lands required arbitration.

Papal Authority in Late Medieval Europe: In 1493, the Pope held unique legitimacy as arbiter among Christian rulers. Papal authority derived from:

- Theological claim: Vicar of Christ on Earth
- Political reality: Necessary broker among Catholic monarchies
- Legal tradition: Canon law as international framework
- Crusading precedent: Pope authorized wars against non-Christians

The **Doctrine of Discovery** - rooted in medieval legal thought - held that Christian rulers could claim sovereignty over "pagan" and "savage" lands. The Pope, as Christ's representative, could distribute these territories among Christian princes.

Iberian Hegemony: In 1493, Spain and Portugal were Europe's preeminent powers:

- Portugal: Pioneered Atlantic exploration (1415-1490s), controlled African coast trade routes
- Spain: Newly unified (1469), sponsored Columbus, rapidly rising
- Other powers (France, England): Secondary maritime capabilities, distracted by European conflicts

The Resource Prize: Columbus claimed to have reached the Indies (actually Caribbean), promising:

- Gold and silver (American mineral wealth)
- Spices (actually seeking Asian spice routes)
- Souls to convert (religious justification)

- Strategic positioning for Asian trade

Narrative Construction: Divine Mission, Evangelization, Civilizing Heathen

Pope Alexander VI (Spanish-born Rodrigo Borgia) constructed legitimating narratives in his 1493 Bulls:

Inter Caetera (May 4, 1493):

> "...by the authority of Almighty God... we... give, grant, and assign to you [Ferdinand and Isabella] and your heirs and successors, kings of Castile and Leon, all islands and mainlands found and to be found, discovered and to be discovered..."

Core Narratives:

1. **Divine Authorization**: God's authority transmitted through papal office to Christian monarchs
2. **Evangelization Duty**: Primary purpose was converting souls to Christianity
3. **Civilizing Mission**: Bringing Christian civilization to "barbarous nations"
4. **Spiritual Warfare**: Extending Christendom against pagan lands
5. **Royal Righteousness**: Catholic Monarchs uniquely worthy of this trust

Narrative Functions:

- **Theological legitimacy**: Framed conquest as sacred duty
- **Moral cover**: Masked gold-seeking as soul-saving
- **Political leverage**: Pope gained influence through arbitration
- **Domestic justification**: Spanish/Portuguese subjects saw divine mission, not piracy
- **Inter-European legitimacy**: Provided framework other Christian rulers should respect

The Contradiction: The bulls proclaimed humanitarian, evangelical goals while authorizing:

- Seizure of inhabited lands
- Subordination of indigenous peoples
- Extraction of wealth
- Enslavement (later)
- Violent conquest

The **narrative masked extraction** from the outset.

Legal/Institutional Framework: Papal Decrees and Bilateral Treaty

The Papal Bulls (1493):

Inter Caetera established:

- **Line of Demarcation**: 100 leagues west of Cape Verde Islands
- **Spanish Rights**: All lands west of line
- **Portuguese Rights**: All lands east of line
- **Exclusion Clause**: Prohibited any Christian from entering these territories without Spanish/Portuguese permission
- **Penalty**: Excommunication for violations

The Treaty of Tordesillas (June 7, 1494):

Portugal objected to the papal line (too favorable to Spain). Bilateral negotiations produced:

- **New Line**: 370 leagues west of Cape Verde (shifted ~800 miles west)
- **Mutual Recognition**: Spain and Portugal recognized each other's zones
- **Procedural Rules**: How to handle future discoveries, boundary disputes
- **Papal Sanction**: Pope Julius II confirmed treaty (1506)

Legal Characteristics:

1. **Source of Authority**: Divine right mediated through papal office
2. **Binding Parties**: Catholic powers recognizing papal authority
3. **Geographic Scope**: The entire non-Christian world
4. **Procedural Mechanism**:
    - Draw imaginary line
    - Grant exclusive rights on each side
    - Prohibit intrusion
5. **Enforcement**: Theological (excommunication) + bilateral agreement to respect boundaries

Critical Feature: The system **excluded indigenous peoples entirely**. Lands with existing governments, populations, civilizations were treated as vacant territories ("terra nullius") available for Christian appropriation.

The Legal Fiction: European Christians created a legal system **among themselves** to distribute other peoples' lands. The "law" operated only within the European club - indigenous sovereignty simply didn't exist in this framework.

Wealth Extraction: Gold, Silver, Land, Labor, Souls as Cover

The Actual Goal (vs. Stated Narrative):

Despite evangelical rhetoric, extraction drove expansion:

Spanish Americas:

- **Gold and Silver**: Massive precious metal extraction (Potosí silver mines, etc.)
- **Land Seizure**: *Encomienda* system granted conquistadors land and indigenous labor

- **Indigenous Labor**: Forced labor in mines, plantations
- **Agricultural Wealth**: Sugar, tobacco, later coffee
- **Trans-Atlantic Trade**: Mercantile system funneling wealth to Spain

Portuguese Americas and Africa:

- **Brazil**: Gold, diamonds, sugar
- **African Slave Trade**: Captured labor for American plantations
- **Spice Trade**: Control of routes to Asia
- **Land Grants**: Similar to Spanish *encomiendas*

The Contradiction Between Narrative and Reality:

- **Narrative**: Saving souls, spreading civilization
- **Reality**: Mining, plantation agriculture, trade monopolies, slavery
- **Religious Institutions**: Missions did evangelize, but also facilitated extraction (mission labor, land control)

The "Souls" Cover: Evangelization provided moral justification while extraction proceeded. Some missionaries genuinely believed in conversion mission; colonial administrators used religion as legitimation for economic conquest.

Resistance Suppression: Conquest, Encomienda, Inquisition

Military Conquest:

- **Conquistadors**: Military expeditions (Cortés, Pizarro) destroyed indigenous empires (Aztec, Inca)
- **Superior Weapons**: Firearms, steel, horses vs. indigenous weapons
- **Disease**: Smallpox, measles devastated populations (unintentional biological warfare)
- **Allied Indigenous Groups**: Exploited local conflicts (Tlaxcalans vs. Aztecs)

Legal Violence - The Encomienda System: Spanish crown granted conquistadors:

- Rights to indigenous labor
- Tribute collection powers
- Land control
- Supposed "protection" and "instruction" duties

Reality: Functional slavery under legal cover.

The Requerimiento (1513): A legal document read to indigenous peoples (in Spanish, which they didn't understand) demanding:

- Recognition of Catholic Church authority
- Submission to Spanish crown

- If refused: "We shall make war against you... take you and your wives and children and make slaves of them"

Legal fiction: Provided procedural legitimacy for conquest. Refusal to submit to authority they didn't understand = "just war."

Inquisition and Cultural Destruction:

- Suppression of indigenous religions
- Destruction of cultural artifacts, texts
- Forced conversion
- Punishment for "heresy" or "idolatry"

Population Collapse: Within decades of contact, indigenous populations declined 90%+ in some regions through:

- Epidemic disease
- War
- Forced labor
- Famine (agricultural disruption)
- Social collapse

Elite Network Coordination: Iberian Bilateral Agreement, Papal Mediation

The Coordination Problem: Two Catholic powers seeking overseas empires needed to avoid war. The solution: **mutual recognition of exclusive zones mediated by papal authority**.

Bilateral Dynamics:

- Spain and Portugal negotiated directly (Treaty of Tordesillas)
- Adjusted papal line through compromise
- Both powers recognized each other's claims
- Agreed to police their respective zones
- Settled disputes through negotiation (Treaty of Zaragoza, 1529 - divided Pacific)

Papal Role:

- Arbitrator between Catholic powers
- Theological legitimator
- Enforcement mechanism (excommunication threat)
- Gained influence through arbitration

System Maintenance: The two powers:

- Policed their own zones
- Respected each other's boundaries (mostly)

- Jointly excluded other European powers
- Suppressed indigenous resistance separately

The Elite Club: Spain and Portugal created a **duopoly** - a closed system excluding:

- Other European powers
- Indigenous peoples
- Later entrants to colonial competition

System Breakdown: Protestant Powers, French Rivalry, Declining Iberian Hegemony

Why the System Failed:

1. **Protestant Rejection** (1517 onwards):
    - Martin Luther's Reformation (1517) challenged papal authority
    - Protestant powers (England, Netherlands) rejected Pope's right to distribute world
    - English Queen Elizabeth I: "God gave the world to all, not just Spain and Portugal"
    - **Theological basis for legitimacy collapsed for non-Catholic powers**
2. **French Catholic Challenge**:
    - France (Catholic!) also rejected Spanish-Portuguese monopoly
    - King Francis I (1525): Demanded to see "Adam's will" that excluded him
    - France established colonies despite treaty
3. **Iberian Decline** (1600s onwards):
    - Spanish imperial overreach, economic stagnation
    - Portugal weakened by Spanish absorption (1580-1640), Dutch competition
    - Neither power could enforce monopoly
4. **Rising Powers**:
    - England: Caribbean colonies (1607 onwards), North American settlements
    - Netherlands: East Indies, New Amsterdam (New York)
    - France: Canada, Louisiana, Caribbean
    - All ignored Tordesillas entirely
5. **Practical Reality**:
    - Naval power determined colonial control, not papal decrees
    - By 1600s, "possession is nine-tenths of the law"
    - Hugo Grotius's *Mare Liberum* (1608): Articulated "freedom of the seas" doctrine rejecting Iberian monopoly

The Lesson: Legitimacy frameworks work only when:

- All powerful players accept the authority source
- Powers can enforce agreements
- No excluded parties gain sufficient power to challenge system

By 1700s, the Papal Bulls/Tordesillas system was dead. A new framework would be needed for the next wave of colonial expansion.

Part II: The Transition Period (1600-1880)

Shifting Power Dynamics: From Iberian to Northwestern European Dominance

The New Colonial Powers:

By 1700s-1800s:

- **Britain**: Dominant naval power, largest empire (India, parts of Africa, Americas, Pacific)
- **France**: Second-largest empire (North America, Caribbean, India, North Africa)
- **Netherlands**: Rich trading empire (East Indies, Caribbean, South Africa)
- **Spain/Portugal**: Declined but retained Latin American colonies
- **Germany**: Unified 1871, late to colonialism but ambitious

Economic Drivers:

- **Mercantilism** (1600s-1700s): Colonies as sources of raw materials, captive markets
- **Industrial Revolution** (1760s onwards): Exponentially increased demand for raw materials and markets
- **Capitalism**: Investment opportunities in colonial enterprises

Changing Legitimacy Needs: Protestant powers couldn't invoke papal authority. What replaced it?

1. **"Civilizing Mission"** (secular version): Bringing progress, science, enlightenment
2. **Commercial Treaties**: Direct agreements with indigenous rulers (often fraudulent)
3. **Discovery Doctrine**: First European to "discover" an area claims it
4. **Effective Occupation**: Actual control = legitimate sovereignty
5. **Balance of Power**: European powers negotiating among themselves

Bilateral Arrangements: Powers made ad-hoc bilateral treaties:

- Utrecht (1713): Spain/Britain territorial division
- Paris (1763): France/Britain post-Seven Years War
- Various others

But **no comprehensive system** existed - leading to constant conflicts and near-wars.

Ideological Evolution: From Evangelization to Civilization, Enlightenment to Science

Secularization of Colonial Narratives:

The legitimating narratives **evolved** but **maintained identical functions**:

Papal Bulls Era	Enlightenment/Industrial Era
Spreading Christianity	Spreading Civilization
Saving souls from damnation	Saving savages from barbarism
Papal authority	Scientific/technological superiority
God's will	Historical progress/evolution
Religious duty	White Man's Burden
Converting heathens	Civilizing mission (*mission civilisatrice*)
Catholic vs. Pagan	Civilized vs. Savage

Key Thinkers/Narratives:

John Locke (1689): Property rights based on "improvement" of land - Europeans improving "waste" lands justifies seizure

Enlightenment Thinkers: Hierarchy of civilizations - Europeans at apex, Africans/indigenous at bottom - "scientifically" justifying domination

Social Darwinism (1860s-1900s): "Survival of the fittest" applied to races/nations - Europeans "naturally" superior, destined to rule

Rudyard Kipling "The White Man's Burden" (1899): Colonialism as noble sacrifice to uplift inferior races

Scientific Racism: Phrenology, eugenics, anthropology deployed to "prove" European superiority

The Functional Continuity: Just as Papal Bulls **claimed** to spread Christianity while **actually** extracting wealth, secular narratives **claimed** to spread civilization while **actually** extracting resources. **Same function, updated language.**

Ad Hoc Colonial Conflicts: Berlin is a Response to Chaos

The Problem by 1880:

With no overarching framework, colonial competition created constant crises:

1. **Overlapping Claims**: Multiple powers claimed same territories
2. **Near-Wars**: Fashoda Crisis (1898 - Britain vs France), others
3. **No Recognition Mechanism**: When was a claim valid? First to plant flag? First treaty? Actual occupation?
4. **Instability**: Unclear boundaries, constant skirmishes
5. **Economic Uncertainty**: Investors needed stable claims

African "Scramble" Accelerating (1870s-1880s):

Before 1880: European control limited to coasts (10% of Africa) **Drivers**:

- Industrial demand for raw materials (rubber, minerals, palm oil)
- Nationalism: Colonial prestige = national greatness
- Strategic competition: Deny rivals colonial territory
- Investment opportunities: Colonies as profitable ventures
- Technological advances: Quinine (antimalarial), steamships, railroads, machine guns made interior penetration feasible

Specific Triggers (1880-1884):

- **Leopold's Congo scheming**: Stanley's secret treaties, French counter-moves (Brazza)
- **Egypt crisis** (1882): British occupation, French resentment
- **West Africa**: Anglo-French conflicts
- **Southern Africa**: Boer Wars, British expansion
- **Portugal's claims**: Based on centuries-old presence, conflicting with newer powers

The Crisis: Without agreed rules, the scramble risked European war. A new legitimacy framework was needed.

Part III: The Berlin Conference System (1884-1885)

Foundation Conditions: Industrial Capitalism, Nationalism, African Resources, Inter-European Rivalry

Industrial Economic Drivers:

By 1884, European industrial capitalism created unprecedented extraction demands:

Raw Materials:

- **Rubber**: For tires (bicycles, automobiles) - profit margins 700%+

- **Palm Oil**: Lubricants for machinery
- **Minerals**: Diamonds, gold, copper (Katanga discovered 1906 but suspected earlier)
- **Ivory**: Declining supply, still valuable
- **Timber**: Mahogany, okoumé

Markets:

- African populations as consumers of European manufactured goods
- Captive colonial markets = guaranteed sales

Capital Investment:

- Surplus European capital seeking profitable opportunities
- Colonial infrastructure projects (railways, ports)
- Mining operations
- Plantation agriculture

Nationalist Competition:

Colonial prestige = national greatness. States competed for:

- Territory (size matters - largest empire = greatest power)
- Strategic positioning (control of key routes, ports)
- Denying rivals advantages
- Domestic political gains (rallying public around imperial glory)

The Specific Crises (1884):

Congo Crisis:

- Leopold II's International Association of the Congo claimed vast central African territories via Stanley's "treaties"
- France countered with Brazza's expeditions
- Portugal invoked historical claims
- Britain feared French/Leopold monopoly
- All powers eyeing Congo River basin's resources

Anglo-French Tensions:

- British control of Egypt (1882) angered France
- West African conflicts (Senegal, Gold Coast boundaries)
- Competition for influence

German Entry:

- Newly unified Germany (1871) sought colonial prestige
- Claimed Cameroon, Togo, Southwest Africa, East Africa

- Conflicts with British claims
- Bismarck wanted to manage competition, not inflame it

The Immediate Problem: These overlapping claims risked war. Bismarck called conference to establish rules preventing European conflict while enabling African conquest.

Narrative Construction: Antislavery, Free Trade, Civilization, Humanitarian Façade

The Conference's Official Narratives:

Bismarck and conference participants constructed elaborate justifications:

1. Antislavery Rhetoric:

- Conference General Act proclaimed commitment to "end slavery by African and Islamic powers"
- Claimed colonial control would suppress "Arab slave trade"
- **The Cynicism**: Even as they claimed to end slavery, they instituted forced labor systems (Leopold's rubber terror, Portuguese *contratados*, French *corvée*) functionally identical to slavery

2. Free Trade and Commerce:

- Promised "freedom of commerce" in Congo Basin
- Claimed to open Africa to beneficial trade
- **The Reality**: Colonial monopolies, concessionaire companies, closed markets for metropolitan goods

3. Civilization and Progress:

- Article 6 of General Act: Commitment to "watching over the preservation of the native tribes, and to care for the improvement of the conditions of their moral and material well-being"
- Promised education, health care, infrastructure
- **The Reality**: Minimal services for Africans, infrastructure for extraction only

4. Religious Freedom:

- Guaranteed "freedom of conscience and religious toleration"
- Protected missionary societies
- **The Reality**: Missions facilitated colonial control, cultural destruction

5. Scientific Exploration:

- Framed colonization as advancing geographical knowledge

- Explorers (Stanley, Brazza, others) as heroes
- **The Reality**: Exploration = reconnaissance for exploitation

Joseph Conrad's Critique: In *Heart of Darkness* (1899), Conrad sarcastically called the International Association "the International Society for the Suppression of Savage Customs" - exposing the humanitarian façade.

The Functional Parallel to Papal Bulls:

Papal Bulls Narrative	Berlin Conference Narrative
Spreading Christianity	Spreading Civilization
Saving souls	Improving natives
God's will	Historical progress
Religious duty	Humanitarian duty
Converting pagans	Civilizing savages
Divine mission	White Man's Burden
Church supervision	International supervision

Same function: Moral cover for violent extraction

Legal/Institutional Framework: Effective Occupation, Notification, Mutual Recognition

The General Act of Berlin (February 26, 1885):

Six key declarations:

1. Congo Basin Neutrality:

- Congo River basin declared "neutral"
- Free trade for all nations
- (Never honored - WWI extended into Congo)

2. Free Navigation:

- Congo River: Open to all nations
- Niger River: Open to all nations
- (Theoretical - colonial powers controlled access)

3. Slave Trade Prohibition:

- Formally banned
- (Immediately violated through forced labor systems)

4. Notification Requirement:

- Powers must notify other signatories of new territorial claims
- Gave rivals chance to object
- Established record of claims

5. Effective Occupation Principle (Articles 34-35):

This is the critical innovation. To claim territory, a power must demonstrate:

Defined Requirements:

- **Treaties with local leaders** (obtained by force, fraud, or chiefs who didn't understand)
- **Flag flying** (symbolic presence)
- **Administration established** (some governmental structure)
- **Police force** (military/police to "maintain order")
- **Protection of rights and trade** (theoretical requirement)

The Genius of the System:

The "effective occupation" principle created a **procedural legitimacy framework** that:

1. **Appeared rigorous**: Required actual presence, not just paper claims
2. **Actually permissive**: Britain argued (successfully) that coastal presence = hinterland claims
3. **Created urgency**: Powers rushed to "effectively occupy" before rivals
4. **Legitimated violence**: "Police forces" = colonial military conquest
5. **Enabled mutual recognition**: If power met requirements, others recognized claim

The Interpretive Debate:

Germany's Position: Strict interpretation

- Must have strong, continuous political authority throughout territory
- Actual governance required
- Wanted this to challenge British/French paper claims

Britain's Position: Loose interpretation

- Coastal base sufficient
- Hinterland theory: Coast = claim to interior
- Minimal administration acceptable

Britain prevailed: The final framework allowed Europeans to "conquer" Africa while doing as little as possible to actually administer it

6. Spheres of Influence:

- First international recognition of "spheres of influence" concept
- Within your sphere, exclusive right to establish control
- Other powers shouldn't interfere

The Legal Fiction:

Just like Tordesillas, Berlin Conference created a **legal system among Europeans** to distribute African territories. Africans had:

- No representation at conference
- No input on rules
- No recognized sovereignty
- No legal standing in the system

Procedural Legitimacy: The system provided **mutual recognition among colonizers**:

- If you follow these procedures, we recognize your claim
- Inter-European conflicts minimized
- Africans entirely excluded from legal framework

The Secular Authority Source:

Unlike Papal Bulls (religious authority), Berlin Conference invoked:

- **International law**: Agreement among sovereign states
- **Diplomatic consensus**: Multilateral treaty
- **"Civilization"**: European club of "civilized nations"
- **Balance of power**: Great power coordination

The Parallel to Tordesillas:

Treaty of Tordesillas	Berlin Conference
Papal authority	International law
Two Catholic powers	14 nations (+ US)
Simple line dividing world	Complex rules (effective occupation)
Bilateral treaty	Multilateral treaty
Religious legitimacy	Secular/legal legitimacy

Enforcement: Excommunication	Enforcement: Mutual recognition
Function: Europeans dividing non-European world	**Function: Europeans dividing non-European world**

Wealth Extraction: Rubber, Minerals, Forced Labor, Concessionaire Capitalism

Immediate Post-Conference Extraction (1885-1900s):

Belgian Congo (Leopold's Personal Fief):

- **Rubber Terror**: Wild rubber collection via hostage-taking, mutilation, mass murder
- **Ivory**: Systematic elephant slaughter
- **Profits**: 700-1000% profit margins
- **Death Toll**: ~10 million (1885-1920)
- **Legal Cover**: Berlin Conference recognized Leopold's sovereignty

French Equatorial Africa:

- **Concessionaire Companies**: 40+ companies granted monopoly concessions covering 70% of territory
- **Rubber and Ivory**: Similar terror tactics to Leopold
- **Congo-Ocean Railway** (1921-1934): 17,000-20,000 deaths building railway for extraction
- **Death Toll**: 30-50% population decline some areas

German Colonies:

- **Cameroon, Togo, East Africa, Southwest Africa**: Plantation agriculture, forced labor
- **Namibia Genocide** (1904-1908): Herero and Namaqua peoples - 80% killed
- **Rubber, minerals, agricultural products**

British Colonies:

- **Nigeria**: Palm oil, rubber, minerals
- **Gold Coast**: Gold, cocoa
- **East Africa**: Coffee, sisal, minerals
- **Southern Africa**: Diamonds (Kimberley), gold (Witwatersrand)

Portuguese Colonies:

- **Angola**: Coffee (forced cultivation), diamonds (Diamang monopoly)
- **Contract labor**: Forced recruitment functionally identical to slavery despite Berlin's "antislavery" claims

The Pattern Across All Colonies:

1. **Forced Labor**: Despite antislavery rhetoric, labor coercion universal
2. **Concessionaire Companies**: Private companies given sovereign authority
3. **Minimal African Wages**: When paid at all, pittances
4. **Violence as Standard**: Beating, imprisonment, killing to enforce quotas
5. **No Development**: Infrastructure only for extraction (railways to mines/ports)
6. **Resource Flow**: Raw materials to Europe, manufactured goods back (if at all)

The Functional Continuity:

- Papal Bulls system → Spanish/Portuguese mines, plantations, encomiendas
- Berlin Conference system → Rubber terror, mining compounds, forced cultivation

Same extraction logic, different resources/geographies

Resistance Suppression: Military Conquest, Punitive Expeditions, Legal Violence

Military Conquest (1885-1920s):

Following Berlin Conference, "effective occupation" requirement triggered:

Systematic Campaigns:

- France: "Pacification" of West/Central Africa
- Britain: Conquest of Nigeria, East Africa, Sudan
- Germany: Wars in Southwest, East Africa
- Belgium: Force Publique suppressing resistance
- Portugal: Interior conquest of Angola/Mozambique

Technological Advantages:

- **Maxim Gun** (1884): Machine gun enabled small European forces to massacre large African armies
- **Railroads**: Rapid troop deployment
- **Telegraphs**: Coordination across vast distances
- **Steamships**: River penetration (especially Congo River)
- **Quinine**: Antimalarial drug reduced European casualties

Specific Atrocities:

- **Congo**: Rubber collection via hostage-taking, village burning, mutilation
- **Namibia** (1904-1908): German genocide of Herero/Namaqua peoples
- **East Africa** (1905-1907): Maji Maji Rebellion suppression - 75,000-300,000 deaths

Legal Violence - The Administration:

Code de l'Indigénat (French colonies):

- Africans subject to administrative detention without trial
- Corporal punishment legal
- Travel restrictions
- Forced labor as punishment

Indigenato (Portuguese colonies):

- Separate legal system for "natives"
- Similar detention powers
- Contract labor = forced labor with legal cover

Indigénat (Belgian Congo):

- Chiefs as enforcement agents
- Corporal punishment (chicotte)
- Forced labor legally mandated

The Pattern: Create **dual legal systems** where:

- Europeans: Regular law, rights, courts
- Africans: Administrative "justice," no rights, corporal punishment, forced labor

Systematic Terror:

- **Leopold's Force Publique**: Required severed hands to prove bullets used on humans (to prevent "wasting" ammunition on hunting)
- **Village Burning**: Collective punishment for resistance or quota failures
- **Hostage-Taking**: Women/children held to force male labor
- **Starvation**: Crops destroyed, food withheld
- **Sexual Violence**: Systematic rape as terror tactic

The Legal Justification: All this violence occurred under **legal cover**:

- "Effective occupation" required "police forces"
- "Maintaining order" justified military campaigns
- "Protecting trade" justified suppressing resistance
- "Civilizing mission" justified cultural destruction

Elite Network Coordination: Great Power Concert, Bilateral Negotiations, Mutual Recognition

The Elite Coordination Problem:

14+ European powers competing for African territory - needed mechanisms to:

- Recognize each other's claims
- Resolve boundary disputes
- Prevent wars over colonies
- Coordinate exploitation

The Solutions:

1. Notification System:

- Power claims territory, notifies other signatories
- Rivals can object if they have prior claims
- If no objection + effective occupation proven = recognized

2. Bilateral Boundary Commissions: Post-Berlin, powers negotiated specific borders:

- Britain-France: Multiple agreements (West Africa, East Africa, etc.)
- Britain-Germany: East Africa, Southwest Africa borders
- France-Germany: Cameroon/French Equatorial Africa boundary
- Many others

3. Diplomatic Conferences: Ongoing negotiations to manage conflicts:

- Brussels Conference (1890): Further antislavery agreements (still hypocritical)
- Various territorial disputes settled diplomatically

4. "Spheres of Influence": Powers recognized each other's exclusive zones:

- Within your sphere, others don't interfere
- You have first right to expand
- Buffer zones between competing spheres

5. The "Concert of Europe" Extended to Africa: Great powers coordinated to:

- Maintain balance of power
- Prevent any one power from dominating
- Share the spoils
- Keep order among themselves

The Elite Club Benefits:

- **Avoided European wars** (until WWI)
- **Legitimated territorial claims** through mutual recognition
- **Coordinated extraction** (didn't compete to African peoples' benefit)
- **Shared legal framework** for colonial administration

Indigenous Exclusion: At every level - conference, bilateral negotiations, boundary commissions - **Africans excluded entirely**:

- No diplomatic recognition
- No legal standing
- No input on boundaries
- Borders drawn through kingdoms, cutting ethnic groups in half
- Traditional rulers forced to accept European sovereignty

The Parallel to Tordesillas Coordination:

Tordesillas System	Berlin System
Spain-Portugal bilateral	Multilateral (14+ nations)
Papal mediation	Diplomatic conferences
Simple line	Complex boundaries via bilateral negotiations
Two-power duopoly	Multi-power oligopoly
Enforcement: Mutual respect + papal pressure	Enforcement: Mutual recognition + great power pressure
Function: European elite coordination excluding non-Europeans	**Function: European elite coordination excluding non-Europeans**

Part IV: Comparative Analysis - Functional Continuities Across Forms

The Core Pattern: Elite Legitimacy Frameworks for Extraction

Despite the 391-year gap and massive contextual changes, the two systems performed **identical functions** through **different mechanisms**:

Functional Constants:

1. Create "Legal" Framework for Violent Conquest:

- Tordesillas: Papal authority sanctioned Spanish/Portuguese conquest
- Berlin: International law legitimated European colonial claims
- **Function**: Transform theft into "legal" acquisition

2. Divide Non-European World Among European Powers:

- Tordesillas: Simple meridian line splitting globe
- Berlin: Complex procedures for recognizing claims
- **Function**: Distribute spoils among elite club

3. Exclude Indigenous Peoples Completely:

- Tordesillas: Indigenous peoples = no legal standing, lands = available for Christian appropriation
- Berlin: Africans = no representation, no recognition, no sovereignty
- **Function**: Deny non-Europeans any voice in decisions about their lands

4. Prevent Inter-European Conflict:

- Tordesillas: Spain/Portugal avoid war by recognizing zones
- Berlin: 14 powers avoid war through mutual recognition procedures
- **Function**: Coordinate elite competition to prevent elite losses

5. Provide Moral/Narrative Cover for Extraction:

- Tordesillas: Evangelization, saving souls, spreading Christianity
- Berlin: Civilization, antislavery, free trade, humanitarian mission
- **Function**: Justify violence and extraction to domestic/international audiences

6. Enable Systematic Violence Under Color of Law:

- Tordesillas: Conquest justified as Christian warfare, encomienda legal, Inquisition
- Berlin: "Effective occupation" requires military force, dual legal systems, administrative detention
- **Function**: Make violence appear lawful, procedural, necessary

7. Extract Maximum Wealth:

- Tordesillas: Gold, silver, land, indigenous labor, trans-Atlantic trade
- Berlin: Rubber, diamonds, minerals, forced labor, colonial trade monopolies
- **Function**: Transfer wealth from colonized to colonizers

The Pattern: **Surface mechanisms change (religious → secular, two powers → many powers, simple line → complex rules), but functional logic remains constant.**

Surface Changes vs. Structural Continuities

What Changed (Surface):

Dimension	Tordesillas	Berlin
Authority Source	Divine (Papal)	Secular (International Law)

Participating Powers	2 (Spain, Portugal)	14+ (major European powers)
Geography	Simple meridian line	Complex boundaries via bilateral negotiations
Procedures	Papal decree → bilateral treaty	Multilateral conference → effective occupation rules
Moral Narrative	Evangelization	Civilization
Enforcement	Excommunication threat + bilateral respect	Mutual recognition + diplomatic pressure
Excluded Parties	Protestant powers, indigenous peoples	Indigenous peoples, non-European powers

What Stayed Constant (Structure):

1. European Elite Exclusivity: Both systems = **closed clubs** making decisions about others' lands

2. Procedural Legitimacy: Both created **formal procedures** that made conquest appear legal:

- Tordesillas: Papal sanction → treaty → recognized claims
- Berlin: Conference → notification → effective occupation → recognized claims

3. Indigenous Erasure: Both **denied indigenous sovereignty entirely**:

- Tordesillas: Lands = available for Christian appropriation ("discovery")
- Berlin: Africans = no legal personality, territories = available for "effective occupation"

4. Violence Normalization: Both **legalized violence** through procedures:

- Tordesillas: Conquistadors, encomienda, Inquisition = lawful
- Berlin: Colonial military, forced labor, punitive expeditions = lawful

5. Extraction Priority: Both **prioritized wealth transfer** despite humanitarian claims:

- Tordesillas: Mines, plantations, trade monopolies (claimed to save souls)
- Berlin: Rubber, diamonds, minerals, forced labor (claimed to civilize)

6. Moral Cover: Both **constructed elaborate narratives** masking extraction:

- Tordesillas: Divine mission, evangelization
- Berlin: Humanitarian duty, civilization, progress

7. Elite Coordination: Both **coordinated European competition** to prevent European losses:

- Tordesillas: Spain-Portugal avoid conflict
- Berlin: Multiple powers avoid conflict

Why the Evolution? Power Shifts and Ideological Adaptation

Why Did Legitimacy Frameworks Change?

1. Protestant Reformation (1517 onwards):

- Challenged papal authority fundamentally
- Protestant powers (England, Netherlands) never accepted Tordesillas
- Required **secular legitimacy** framework that Protestants could accept

2. Iberian Decline:

- Spain/Portugal couldn't enforce Tordesillas monopoly
- By 1600s-1700s: Britain, France, Netherlands establishing colonies anyway
- Two-power system **couldn't accommodate new powers**

3. European Secularization:

- Enlightenment, scientific revolution reduced religious authority
- "International law" emerged as secular alternative
- Appeals to **"civilization" and "progress"** replaced religious duty

4. Capitalist Development:

- Industrial capitalism created new extraction demands (different from mercantile era)
- More powers wanted colonial access for **raw materials and markets**
- Required system that could **coordinate multiple industrial powers**

5. Nationalist Competition:

- 19th century nationalism: colonial prestige = national greatness
- Multiple powers competing required **multilateral coordination**
- Couldn't be just two powers

The Lesson: **Legitimacy frameworks must adapt to accommodate changing power configurations**. When:

- Authority sources shift (religious → secular)
- Excluded powers gain strength (Protestant nations)
- Dominant powers decline (Spain/Portugal)
- Number of competitors increases (14+ vs. 2)

Elite extraction systems update surface legitimacy mechanisms while maintaining core extraction logic.

The Doctrine of Discovery: Continuity in Legal Theory

Underlying Both Systems:

The **Doctrine of Discovery** - legal theory justifying European appropriation:

Core Claims:

1. Christian/European "discovery" of non-Christian lands = sovereign claim
2. Indigenous peoples = no full sovereignty (at best "occupancy rights")
3. Europeans = superior, entitled to claim "empty" or "underutilized" lands
4. First European to "discover" = superior claim vs. indigenous peoples
5. Indigenous peoples = incapable of proper governance, need European rule

In Tordesillas:

- Papal Bulls explicitly invoked discovery doctrine
- Christian rulers entitled to pagan lands they "discover"
- Indigenous peoples = no legal standing

In Berlin Conference:

- "Effective occupation" = modern version of discovery
- First to establish presence = legitimate claim
- Africans = no recognized sovereignty
- Europeans = entitled to claim territories

The Continuity: From 1493 to 1885, the fundamental legal theory **never changed**:

- Europeans have right to claim non-European lands
- Indigenous sovereignty = nonexistent or irrelevant
- Procedures among Europeans determine legitimacy
- Non-Europeans = objects, not subjects, of international law

Modern Persistence: This doctrine still affects international law today:

- Land rights disputes (indigenous peoples vs. settler states)
- Resource extraction on indigenous lands
- Territorial claims (Falklands, etc.)
- The legal structures created 500+ years ago persist

Part V: Long-Term Consequences and Ongoing Patterns

Colonial Borders and Post-Colonial Conflicts

Arbitrary Boundaries:

Both Tordesillas and Berlin created borders with **zero regard for indigenous peoples**:

Latin America (Tordesillas consequences):

- Portuguese Brazil vs. Spanish colonies
- Arbitrary borders cutting through:
    - Amazon indigenous territories
    - Highland indigenous kingdoms
    - Colonial administrative convenience = national borders
- Languages split: Portuguese vs. Spanish
- Post-independence wars over unclear borders

Africa (Berlin consequences):

- Borders cut through ~190 cultural groups, split kingdoms, forced enemies together
- Examples:
    - Kingdom of Kongo divided among Belgian, Portuguese, French territories
    - Somali people split across 5 modern states
    - Arbitrary straight lines in deserts/forests
- Post-independence conflicts directly traceable to colonial borders
- Julius Nyerere (Tanzania): "We have artificial 'nations' carved out at Berlin Conference... struggling to build these nations into stable units"

The Pattern: **European elite decisions about borders created conflicts lasting centuries**.

Resource Extraction Continuities

Colonial Patterns Persist:

The extraction mechanisms established under Tordesillas/Berlin frameworks continue:

Latin America:

- Mining (silver, gold, copper, lithium) - old colonial mines still operating
- Plantation agriculture (coffee, sugar, bananas)
- Land concentration - colonial *latifundia* became modern haciendas
- Indigenous peoples still displaced for extraction
- Multinational corporations = new conquistadors

Africa:

- Oil (Angola, Congo-Brazzaville, Nigeria, others)

- Minerals (DRC copper/cobalt/coltan, Botswana diamonds)
- Cash crop agriculture
- Colonial concession companies → post-independence state companies → multinational contracts
- Infrastructure still extraction-focused (mines → ports)

The Pattern: **Physical extraction infrastructure and economic structures created under colonial "legal" frameworks persist post-independence**.

Legal Frameworks and Neo-Colonial Structures

The Persistence of Colonial Legal Structures:

Post-independence states inherited:

Legal Systems:

- Civil law (Spanish/Portuguese/French colonies)
- Common law (British colonies)
- Land tenure systems (all land = state property)
- Resource ownership laws (subsoil minerals = state)
- Corporate law (favoring foreign companies)

International Law:

- Doctrine of Discovery embedded in modern international law
- State sovereignty principles from European system
- Contract law protecting colonial-era agreements
- Investment treaties favoring multinationals

Economic Integration:

- Former colonies still export raw materials to former colonizers
- Import manufactured goods from former metropoles
- Financial systems (CFA franc in French Africa)
- Trade agreements maintaining colonial patterns

The Pattern: **Legal and economic structures created under Tordesillas/Berlin frameworks continue structuring extraction post-independence**.

Ideological Legacies: Civilization, Development, Humanitarian Intervention

The Narrative Evolution:

The legitimating narratives evolved but maintain functional continuity:

Era	Narrative	Function
1493-1800s	Evangelization, saving souls	Justify Spanish/Portuguese conquest
1800s-1960s	Civilizing mission, progress	Justify European colonialism
1960s-1990s	Development, modernization	Justify Western intervention in newly independent states
1990s-Present	Humanitarian intervention, democracy promotion, human rights	Justify Western military/economic intervention

The Functional Continuity:

Modern "humanitarian intervention" functions identically to "civilizing mission":

- Claims moral superiority (democracy vs. civilization vs. Christianity)
- Justifies intervention in non-Western states
- Often accompanied by resource extraction or geopolitical goals
- Excludes target populations from decision-making
- Uses force when "necessary"
- Extracts wealth while claiming to help

Examples:

- Iraq War (2003): "Spread democracy" / actually oil interests
- Libya intervention (2011): "Humanitarian" / destabilized state, enabled extraction
- Various African interventions: "Peacekeeping" / protecting Western economic interests

The Pattern: **Surface narratives evolve (religious → secular → humanitarian), but function remains identical: justify intervention and extraction**.

Elite Coordination and International Institutions

From Papal Mediation → Berlin Conference → UN/World Bank/IMF:

The mechanisms for elite coordination evolved:

Papal Bulls Era: Pope mediates disputes between Catholic powers **Berlin Conference**: Great powers coordinate through diplomatic conferences **Modern**: International institutions coordinate global elite interests

The UN Security Council:

- 5 permanent members = former colonial powers (+ US, which was settler colonial)
- Veto power = great power coordination mechanism
- Similar to Berlin Conference: powerful states coordinate to manage global affairs
- Formerly colonized states = majority in General Assembly but limited real power

The World Bank/IMF:

- Voting weighted by economic power (former colonizers dominate)
- Structural adjustment programs = modern extraction mechanisms
- Debt servicing = wealth transfer from South to North
- Similar logic to colonial debt/taxation systems

Trade Agreements:

- WTO, bilateral FTAs
- Protect multinational corporate interests (often from former colonizers)
- Limit state sovereignty of developing nations
- Maintain colonial economic patterns

The Pattern: **International institutions ostensibly "neutral" actually coordinate elite interests and maintain extraction patterns established under colonial systems**.

Conclusion: The Framework Exposed Across Centuries

The Core Insight: Surface Mechanisms Adapt, Extraction Logic Persists

This comparative analysis of Papal Bulls/Tordesillas (1493-1494) and Berlin Conference (1884-1885) reveals a fundamental pattern:

Elite extraction systems adapt surface legitimacy mechanisms to changing power configurations and ideological contexts while maintaining identical functional logic.

The Constant Functions:

1. Create legal frameworks among powerful for appropriating others' resources
2. Divide non-European world among European/Western powers
3. Exclude indigenous/colonized peoples entirely from decisions
4. Prevent conflicts among powerful (coordinate elite competition)
5. Construct moral narratives masking extraction (religious → secular → humanitarian)
6. Legalize violence necessary for extraction
7. Transfer wealth from periphery to core

The Changing Forms:

1. Authority source: Divine → International law → Multilateral institutions

2. Participating powers: 2 → 14 → ~200 (but core powers still dominate)
3. Procedures: Papal decree → Diplomatic conference → International institutions
4. Narratives: Evangelization → Civilization → Development → Human rights
5. Geographic scope: Simple line → Complex boundaries → Global governance

Why Forms Change:

- Power shifts (Iberian decline, rise of Britain/France/Germany/US)
- Ideological evolution (secularization, nationalism, human rights discourse)
- Resistance (indigenous resistance, anti-colonial movements force adaptations)
- Elite competition (more players require more sophisticated coordination)

Why Functions Persist:

- Extraction remains profitable for core elite networks
- Legal frameworks protect existing property relations
- International institutions controlled by former colonizers
- Narrative construction effective at legitimating extraction
- Violence monopoly enables enforcement
- Excluded groups lack power to fundamentally restructure system

The Framework's Value: Exposing Elite Patterns Across Regime Changes

The **8-part analytical framework** used in the Kongo case studies applies perfectly to analyzing Tordesillas → Berlin evolution:

1. Foundation Conditions:

- New territories/resources create extraction opportunities
- Power configurations determine which elites compete
- Technology enables exploitation (ships, guns, quinine, etc.)

2. Narrative Construction:

- Moral cover for extraction (souls → civilization → development)
- Domestic legitimation
- International legitimation among elite peers
- Always contradicted by actual extraction

3. Legal/Institutional Frameworks:

- Create "procedural legitimacy" for conquest
- Coordinate elite competition
- Exclude non-elites from decisions
- Evolve from religious → secular authority but maintain function

4. Wealth Extraction:

- Actual goal regardless of stated purposes
- Methods evolve (gold → rubber → oil) but pattern constant
- Infrastructure for extraction, not development
- Wealth flows from periphery to core

5. Information Control:

- Narratives require propaganda to maintain
- Suppress counter-narratives
- Control education to prevent resistance
- Missions/colonial schools → modern media

6. Resistance Suppression:

- Violence necessary to enforce extraction
- Legalized through institutional frameworks
- Technological advantages enable
- Systematic terror normalized

7. Elite Network Coordination:

- Prevent elite losses through inter-elite conflict
- Coordinate to maximize extraction
- Share spoils to maintain system
- Exclude non-elites from coordination

8. Long-Term Consequences:

- Structures persist post-regime change
- Economic/legal systems maintain extraction
- Borders/institutions created by elites endure
- Resistance continues but within structures created by elites

The Relevance Today: Colonial Legitimacy Frameworks Never Ended

The Papal Bulls → Berlin Conference evolution demonstrates that:

Colonial legitimacy frameworks didn't end with decolonization - they evolved:

Modern Manifestations:

Economic:

- Multinational corporations = modern concessionaire companies

- Resource extraction = same colonial patterns
- Debt servicing = wealth transfer North to South
- Trade agreements = maintain colonial economic relations

Legal:

- International law = still based on European state system
- Doctrine of Discovery = still affects indigenous land rights
- Corporate law = protects extractive interests
- Investment treaties = favor former colonizers' companies

Political:

- UN Security Council = great power coordination (like Berlin)
- "Humanitarian intervention" = civilizing mission redux
- "Democracy promotion" = earlier "civilization" discourse
- Aid conditionality = colonial paternalism continues

Narrative:

- "Development" = civilization mission repackaged
- "Good governance" = colonial "native administration"
- "Human rights" = used selectively to justify intervention
- "Rule of law" = often means law protecting extractive interests

The Pattern Across 500+ Years:

1493 → 1884 → 2025: **Evangelization → Civilization → Development/Human Rights**

All three = **moral cover for extraction**

All three = **exclude those being "helped" from decisions**

All three = **enable violence when resistance occurs**

All three = **benefit elite networks in core states**

Final Implications: Why Understanding This History Matters

For Analysis:

- Reveals that "regime changes" often = surface adaptations masking structural continuities
- Shows how elite legitimacy mechanisms evolve to accommodate power shifts
- Demonstrates that legal frameworks can formalize and enable extraction
- Exposes how moral narratives function to mask material interests

For Resistance:

- Understanding legitimacy framework evolution = better resistance strategies
- Recognizing narrative patterns = harder to manipulate with new versions
- Seeing structural continuities = targeting actual power structures not surface manifestations
- Legal frameworks created by/for elites ≠ natural or unchangeable

For Alternative Frameworks:

- Indigenous sovereignty recognition = reject Doctrine of Discovery entirely
- Resource sovereignty = reject colonial property relations
- Actual democratic control = include affected populations in decisions
- Decolonize international institutions = restructure power relations

The Core Lesson:

When powerful elites want to extract wealth from others' territories, they:

1. Create legal frameworks among themselves legitimating appropriation
2. Construct moral narratives justifying violence and extraction
3. Exclude those being exploited from any decision-making
4. Adapt these mechanisms when power configurations change
5. Maintain extraction despite surface changes in authority sources, procedures, or rhetoric

Understanding this pattern = recognizing when it's being repeated under new names.

From Papal Bulls to Berlin Conference to modern "development" frameworks - **the same elite extraction logic, endlessly adapted, never fundamentally changed without struggle**.

Bibliography

[Would include all sources from previous case studies plus specific sources on Tordesillas, Berlin Conference, international law evolution, Doctrine of Discovery, etc.]

Papal Bulls and Treaty of Tordesillas

Davenport, Frances Gardiner, ed. *European Treaties Bearing on the History of the United States and its Dependencies to 1648*. Washington: Carnegie Institution of Washington, 1917.

Seed, Patricia. *Ceremonies of Possession in Europe's Conquest of the New World, 1492-1640*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995.

Miller, Robert J., Jacinta Ruru, Larissa Behrendt, and Tracey Lindberg. *Discovering Indigenous Lands: The Doctrine of Discovery in the English Colonies*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010.

Pagden, Anthony. *Lords of All the World: Ideologies of Empire in Spain, Britain and France, c. 1500-c. 1800*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1995.

Berlin Conference

Förster, Stig, Wolfgang J. Mommsen, and Ronald Robinson, eds. *Bismarck, Europe, and Africa: The Berlin Conference, 1884-1885, and the Onset of Partition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1988.

Crowe, Sybil Eyre. *The Berlin West African Conference, 1884-1885*. Westport: Greenwood Press, 1970.

Anghie, Antony. *Imperialism, Sovereignty and the Making of International Law*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005.

Pakenham, Thomas. *The Scramble for Africa*. New York: Random House, 1991.

International Law and Colonial Legacies

Anaya, S. James. *Indigenous Peoples in International Law*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004.

Koskenniemi, Martti. *The Gentle Civilizer of Nations: The Rise and Fall of International Law, 1870-1960*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002.

Benton, Lauren. *A Search for Sovereignty: Law and Geography in European Empires, 1400-1900*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010.

Fitzmaurice, Andrew. *Sovereignty, Property and Empire, 1500-2000*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014.

Comparative Colonial Studies

Young, Crawford. *The African Colonial State in Comparative Perspective*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1994.

Cooper, Frederick. *Colonialism in Question: Theory, Knowledge, History*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2005.

Fieldhouse, D.K. *The Colonial Empires: A Comparative Survey from the Eighteenth Century*. 2nd ed. London: Macmillan, 1982.

Ideology and Narrative Analysis

Said, Edward W. *Culture and Imperialism*. New York: Knopf, 1993.

Mudimbe, V.Y. *The Invention of Africa: Gnosis, Philosophy, and the Order of Knowledge*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1988.

Mehta, Uday Singh. *Liberalism and Empire: A Study in Nineteenth-Century British Liberal Thought*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1999.

Copyright and Licensing

© 2025 Thomas J. Bryan

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.
To view a copy of this license, visit: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

You are free to:
- Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format
- Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material

Under the following terms:
- Attribution — You must give appropriate credit
- NonCommercial — You may not use the material for commercial purposes

This case study is part of the collection *Patterns of Power: Systematic Analysis of Elite Control Mechanisms Across Civilizations*